arXiv:1406.0279v1 [math.GT] 2 Jun 2014

A NEW OBSTRUCTION OF QUASI-ALTERNATING LINKS

KHALED QAZAQZEH AND NAFAA CHBILI

Abstract. We prove that the degree of the Brandt-Lickorish-Millet polynomial of any quasi-alternating link is less than its determinant. Therefore, we obtain a new and a simple obstruction criterion for quasi-alternateness. As an application, we identify some knots of 12 crossings or less and some links of 9 crossings or less that are not quasi-alternating. Also, we show that there are only finitely many Kanenobu knots which are quasi-alternating. This last result supports Conjecture 3.1 of Greene in [10] which states that there are only finitely many quasi-alternating links with a given determinant. Moreover, we identify an infinite family of non quasi-alternating Montesinos links and this supports Conjecture 3.10 in [20] that characterizes quasi-alternating Montesinos links.

1. introduction

Quasi-alternating links were first introduced by Ozsváth and Szabó in [18]. This class of links appeared in the context of link homology as a natural generalization of alternating links. Quasi-alternating links are defined recursively as follows:

Definition 1.1. The set $\mathcal{Q}$ of quasi-alternating links is the smallest set satisfying the following properties:

- The unknot belongs to $\mathcal{Q}$.
- If L is a link with a diagram D containing a crossing c such that
  (1) both smoothings of the diagram D at the crossing c, L_0 and L_∞ as in figure 1 belong to $\mathcal{Q}$, and
  (2) $\det(L_0), \det(L_\infty) \geq 1$,
  (3) $\det(L) = \det(L_0) + \det(L_\infty)$; then L is in $\mathcal{Q}$ and in this case we say that L is quasi-alternating at the crossing c with quasi-alternating diagram D.



Figure 1. The link diagram L at crossing c and its smoothings L_0 and L_∞ respectively.

Here is a list of properties of alternating links that hold for quasi-alternating links as well. These are actually the main obstruction criteria that have been used to study quasi-alternateness of links.

(1) the branched double-cover of any quasi-alternating link is an L-space [18, Proposition 3.3];

Date: 02/06/2014.
Key words and phrases. quasi-alternating links, determinant, Q-polynomial.

(2) the space of branched double-cover of any quasi-alternating link bounds a negative definite 4-manifold W with $H_1(W) = 0$ [18, Proof of Lemma 3.6];
(3) the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ knot Floer homology group of any quasi-alternating link is thin [15, Theorem 2];
(4) the reduced ordinary Khovanov homology group of any quasi-alternating link is thin [15, Theorem 1];
(5) the reduced odd Khovanov homology group of any quasi-alternating link is thin [17, Remark after Proposition 5.2].

The recursive nature of the definition of the set $\mathcal{Q}$ makes it hard to decide whether a given link is quasi-alternating by the only use of the definition. A different approach for the study of this class of links is to find algebraic properties that characterize quasi-alternating links. This is actually the main motivation of this paper. We prove that the degree of the Brandt-Lickorish-Millet polynomial $Q_L(x)$ of any quasi-alternating link L is less than its determinant. Hence, we obtain a new property of quasi-alternating links which is in other words a new and simple obstruction criterion for quasi-alternateness.

Theorem 1.2. *For any quasi-alternating link L, we have* $\deg Q_L < \det(L)$.

Consequently, we provide a table of knots with up to 12 crossings, and a table of links with up to 9 crossings which are not quasi-alternating. Also, we show that there are only finitely many Kanenobu knots that are quasi-alternating. This gives an easier proof of one of the claims of [11, Theorem 2] and supports Conjecture 3.1 of Greene in [10] since all Kanenobu knots have equal determinant. In addition, we identify an infinite family of non quasi-alternating Montesinos links. The later result supports Conjecture 3.10 in [20] that characterizes quasi-alternating Montesinos links.

2. Proof and Applications

In 1984, Jones introduced a new polynomial $V_L(t)$ which is an invariant of ambient isotopy of oriented links in the three-sphere. The Jones polynomials can be defined recursively by the following relations:

$$V_U(t) = 1$$
$$tV_{L_+}(t) - t^{-1}V_{L_-}(t) = (\sqrt{t} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}})V_{L_0}(t),$$

where U is the unknot and L_+, L_- and L_0 are three links which are identical except in a small ball where they are as pictured below:



Figure 2. L_+, L_- and L_0, respectively.

Shortly after the discovery of the Jones polynomial, Brandt, Lickorish and Millet in [4] introduced a new link invariant $Q_L(x)$. For any link L, $Q_L(x)$ is a Laurent polynomial which can be defined by $Q_U(x) = 1$ and a recursive relation on link diagrams as follows:

$$Q_{L_+}(x) + Q_{L_-}(x) = x(Q_{L_0}(x) + Q_{L_\infty}(x))$$

where L_+, L_-, L_0 and L_∞ are four links which are identical except in a small ball where they are as in the following picture



FIGURE 3. L_+, L_-, L_0 and L_∞, respectively.

It is worth mentioning that the Q-polynomial is a specialization of the well known two-variable Kauffman polynomial F, [4]. More precisely, for any link L, we have $Q_L(x) = F_L(1, x)$. In the remaining part of the paper, $\deg(Q)$ refers to the highest power of x that appears in $Q(x)$. It is well known that $\deg(Q)$ is always positive. Here are some properties of the $Q-$polynomial.

Proposition 2.1 ([4])**.** *The Q-polynomial satisfies the following:*

1. *if L is a $k-$component link, then the lowest power of x that appears in Q is $1-k$.*
2. *$Q(L_1\#L_2) = Q(L_1)\ Q(L_2)$, where $L_1\#L_2$ is the connected sum of L_1 and L_2.*
3. *$Q(L') = Q(L)$, where L' is the mirror image of L.*

The following lemma is the key step towards the proof of the main result of this paper.

Lemma 2.2. *Let L be a link, then*

$$\deg Q_L \leq \max\{\deg Q_{L_0}, \deg Q_{L_\infty}\} + 1,$$

where L_0, L_∞ are the smoothings of the link L at any crossing c.

Proof. For any link diagram D, we define $n(D)$ to be the minimum number of crossing switches $\times \dashv \times$ necessary to transform D into a diagram of the unlink. We prove the lemma by induction on $n = n(D)$. First, notice that we can assume without loss of generality that $D = D_+$. If $n = 1$, then there is a crossing in D whose change produces a diagram D_- of the unlink with k components L_-. Since $Q_{L_-}(x) = (2x^{-1} - 1)^{k-1}$, then the skein relation writes: $Q_L(x) = x(Q_{L_0}(x) + Q_{L_\infty}(x)) - (2x^{-1} - 1)^{k-1}$. Using the fact that the degree of Q_L is always positive, we can see that the term $(2x^{-1} - 1)^{k-1}$ will not contribute to the coefficient of the highest degree of Q_L. Consequently, $\deg Q_L \leq \deg(Q_{L_0} + Q_{L_\infty}) + 1 \leq \max\{\deg Q_{L_0}, \deg Q_{L_\infty}\} + 1$.

Now, let L be a link having a diagram D whose number of crossing switches is $n(D) = n$. Assume the result is true for all link diagrams with a crossing switches less than n, in particular for the link L_-. Since $Q_L(x) = x(Q_{L_0}(x) + Q_{L_\infty}(x)) - Q_{L_-}(x)$. Then, $\deg Q_L(x) \leq \max\{\deg(Q_{L_0} + Q_{L_\infty}) + 1, \deg Q_{L_-}\} \leq \max\{\deg Q_{L_0}, \deg Q_{L_\infty}\} + 1$.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We use induction on the determinant of the given quasi-alternating link L. The result is obvious if $\det(L) = 1$ since the only link that is quasi-alternating with determinant 1 is the unknot. Now assume that the result is true for all quasi-alternating links with determinant less than or equal to m. If L is a quasi-alternating link with determinant $m+1$, then both $\det(L_0)$ and $\det(L_\infty)$ are less than or equal to m. By the induction assumption $\deg Q_{L_0} < \det(L_0)$ and $\deg Q_{L_\infty} < \det(L_\infty)$. Consequently:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg Q_L &\leq \max\{\deg Q_{L_0}, \deg Q_{L_\infty}\} + 1 \\ &< \max\{\det(L_0), \det L_\infty\} + 1 \\ &< \det(L_0) + \det(L_\infty) \\ &< \det(L). \end{aligned}$$

□

In [12], Kanenobu introduced an infinite family of knots $K(p,q)$, where p,q are two integers. All these knots are known to have determinant equal to 25. Our idea is to apply Theorem 1.2 to study the quasi-alternateness of Kanenobu knots. The Q-polynomial of any Kanenobu knot is given by the following proposition:

Proposition 2.3 (Proposition 4.5, [12]). *Let $Q(a,b)$ be the Q-polynomial of the Kanenobu knot $K(a,b)$, then we have*

$$Q(a,b) = -\sigma_a\sigma_b(Q(8_9)-1) + x^{-1}(\sigma_{a+1}\sigma_{b+1}+\sigma_{a-1}\sigma_{b-1})(Q(8_8)-1)+1,$$

where $Q(8_8) = 1+4x+6x^2-10x^3-14x^4+4x^5+8x^6+2x^7$ and $Q(8_9) = -7+4x+16x^2-10x^3-16x^4+4x^5+8x^6+2x^7$.

In the proposition above, σ_n is defined as follows:

$$\sigma_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha^n-\beta^n}{\alpha-\beta}, & \text{if } n>0,\\ 0, & \text{if } n=0,\\ -\frac{\alpha^{-n}-\beta^{-n}}{\alpha-\beta}, & \text{if } n<0, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha+\beta = x$ and $\alpha\beta = 1$.

The degree of the Q-polynomial of any Kanenobu knot is given in the following proposition:

Proposition 2.4. *For the Kanenobu knot $K(p,q)$, we have*

$$\deg Q(p,q) = \begin{cases} |p|+|q|+6, & \text{if } pq \geq 0,\\ |p|+|q|+5, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

Proof. We claim that $\sigma_0 = S_{-1}$ and $\sigma_n = \frac{n}{|n|}S_{|n|-1}(x)$ if $n\neq 0$, where $S_k(x)$ is $k-th$ Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind which is defined inductively by $S_{-1}(x)=0, S_0(x)=1$ and $S_k(x) = xS_{k-1}(x)-S_{k-2}(x)$. The claim is obvious for $n=0$ and $n=1$. Now we prove that σ_k satisfies the same inductive relation as the Chebyshev polynomial. Since $\alpha+\beta=x$ and $\alpha\beta=1$, then for all $k\geq 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} x\sigma_k =& (\alpha+\beta)\frac{\alpha^k-\beta^k}{\alpha-\beta}\\ =& \frac{\alpha^{k+1}-\beta^{k+1}+\beta\alpha^k-\alpha\beta^k}{\alpha-\beta}\\ =& \frac{\alpha^k-\beta^k}{\alpha-\beta}+\frac{\alpha^{k-1}-\beta^{k-1}}{\alpha-\beta}\\ =& \sigma_{k+1}+\sigma_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the claim follows for any k since $\sigma_n = -\sigma_{-n}$ for $n<0$.

Finally, with the convention $\deg 0 = -1$, we conclude that $\deg Q(p,q) = \deg(\sigma_{|p|+1}\sigma_{|q|+1}) + \deg Q(8_8) - 1 = |p|+|q|+7-1 = |p|+|q|+6$ for $pq\geq 0$ and $\deg Q(p,q) = \deg(\sigma_{|p+1|}\sigma_{|q+1|}) + \deg Q(8_8)-1 = |p|+|q|+7-1-1 = |p|+|q|+5$ for $pq<0$. □

Corollary 2.5. *There are only finitely many Kanenobu knots that are quasi-alternating.*

Proof. A necessary condition for the Kanenobu knot to be quasi-alternating, is as follows:

$$\deg Q_{K(p,q)} \leq |p|+|q|+6 < 25.$$

This implies that $|p|+|q|<19$ and we know that there are only finitely many values of p and q that satisfy this inequality. □

The following corollary gives a partial solution of Conjecture 3.10 in [20].

Corollary 2.6. *The Montesinos link $L = M(e; (\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2), \ldots, (\alpha_r, \beta_r), (\alpha, \beta))$ for all $\alpha = l + k\beta$ for k large enough and $l = 0, 1, \ldots, \beta - 1$ in standard form is not quasi-alternating if $e = 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i} = 1$. This result supports Conjecture 3.10 in* [20].

Proof. According to Theorem 1.2, a necessary condition for the above Montesinos link to be quasi-alternating is as follows:

$$\deg Q_L = c(D) - 2 = c(L) - 2 < \det(L) = \left(\alpha \prod_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i\right)\left(-1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) = \beta \prod_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i,$$

the first two equalities follow from [13, Lemma 8 & Theorem 10] with $c(L), c(D)$ are the crossing numbers of the Montesinos link and its corresponding Montesinos reduced link diagram respectively. The third equality follows from the formula to compute the determinant of Montesinos link that first appeared in [8, Proposition 3.1] and it can be derived from the work in [20]. Note that increasing the value of k will increase the value of $c(D)$ while the determinant stays fixed. Therefore, we can choose k large enough for fixed β so that $\beta \prod_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i \leq c(L) - 2$.

For the second claim, We have to show that $\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_i - \beta_i} \leq \min\{\min\{\frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} \mid j \neq i\}, \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta} \leq \min\{\frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\}$. If we choose k large enough such that $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{l}{\beta} + k > \frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, then it is enough to show $\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_i - \beta_i} \leq \min\{\frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} \mid j \neq i\}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta} \leq \min\{\frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\}$. For the first part, suppose $\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_i - \beta_i} > \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j}$ for some j, then we have $\alpha_i\beta_j + \alpha_j\beta_i > \alpha_j\alpha_i$. This implies that $\frac{\beta_j}{\alpha_j} + \frac{\beta i}{\alpha i} > 1$ which contradicts the assumption. Similarly for the second part suppose that $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta} > \frac{\alpha_m}{\beta_m} = \min\{\frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ for some m, then we have $\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha} < \frac{\beta_m}{\alpha_m}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$1 - \frac{\beta_m}{\alpha_m} < 1 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} < \frac{\beta_m}{\alpha_m}.$$

So we conclude that $\frac{1}{2} < \frac{\beta_m}{\alpha_m}$. Thus, $2 < \frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{j+k\beta}{j+(k-1)\beta}$. This implies that $j + (k-2)\beta < 0$ which is a contradiction since $0 \leq j, k-2, \beta$ for large k.

□

Remark 2.7. Corollary 2.6 explains why most but finitely many of the Montesinos links of the from $L(m, n) = M(0; (m^2 + 1, m), (n, 1), (m^2 + 1, m)) = M(1; (m^2 + 1, m), (n, 1), (m^2 + 1, m - m^2 - 1))$ for positive integers m, n and large n are not quasi-alternating. This result was first obtained in [10, Theorem 1.3] for $L(2, 3)$ which is the knot $11n50$. The proof of [10, Theorem 1.3] can be generalized easily to all $L(m, n)$ with $m > n$ as it was suggested by J. Greene in [10, Subsection 3.2].

In [14], Manolescu showed that all homologically thin in Khovanov homology non alternating knots of crossing number less than or equal to 9 are quasi-alternating, except the knot 9_{46}. Among the 42 non alternating knots of 10 crossings, 32 are homologically thin in Khovanov homology. The authors of [1, 6, 9, 14] showed that all these knots are quasi-alternating except for the knot 10_{140}. Shumakovitch in [22] showed that the knots $9_{46}, 10_{140}$ have thick odd Khovanov homology groups, so they are not quasi-alternating.

Theorem 1.2 does not characterize quasi-alternating links since the knots $9_{46}, 10_{128}$, and $11n50$ for instance, satisfy the inequality $\deg(Q_L) < det(L)$, but they are not quasi-alternating. Actually, the knot 10_{128} is homologically thick in Khovanov homology [5]. The knot $11n50$ which is the Kanenobu knot $K(3, 0)$ does not bound a negative definite 4-manifold with torsion-free as it has been shown by Greene in [10, Theorem 1.3].

Proposition 2.8. *There are an infinite family of knots and an infinite family of links which are not quasi-alternating but satisfy the inequality in Theorem 1.2.*

Proof. The first family is the set of the pretzel knots of the form $P(r+2, r+1, -r)$ and the second family is the set of the pretzel links $P(r+1, r+1, -r)$, where $r > 3$ is an odd integer. It has been shown in [19] that these knots and links are thick in Khovanov homology. Therefore, they are not quasi-alternating. However, they satisfy the inequality in Theorem 1.2.

$$\deg Q_{P(r+2,r+1,-r)} = 3r + 1 \leq r^2 - 2 = \det(P(r+2, r+1, -r))$$
$$\deg Q_{P(r+1,r+1,-r)} = 3r + 2 \leq r^2 - 1 = \det(P(r+1, r+1, -r)),$$

where the first equality in each of the two equations above follows from [13, Lemma 8 & Theorem 10]. □

Proposition 2.9. *There is an infinite family of links that are not quasi-alternating homologically thin in Khovanov homology and satisfy the inequality in Theorem 1.2.*

Proof. The family is the set of the pretzel links $P(n, n, -n)$ for $n \geq 3$. It has been shown that all these links are homologically thin in Khovanov homology in [19]. However, they are not quasi-alternating by Theorem 1.4 in [10]. It is left to show that all these links satisfy the inequality in Theorem 1.2. We have

$$\deg Q_{P(n,n,-n)} = 3n - 2 \leq n^2 = \det(P(n, n, -n)),$$

for $n \geq 3$, where the first equality of above equation follows from [13, Lemma 8 & Theorem 10]. □

Let L be a oriented link. The breadth of the Jones polynomial $\operatorname{breadth} V_L(t)$ is defined to be the difference between the highest and the lowest degree of t that appear in $V_L(t)$. Inspired by Theorem 1.2 and computations of the breadth and the determinants of a large number of links, we conjecture the following.

Conjecture 2.10. *If L is a quasi-alternating link, then* $\operatorname{breadth} V_L(t) \leq det(L)$.

Conjecture 2.10 is weaker than the one in [21] which states that for any quasi-alternating link, the crossing number is a lower bound of the determinant, $c(L) \leq det(L)$ since we know that the breadth of the Jones polynomial is always less than or equal to the crossing number of the link. The importance of the latter does also come from that it solves a conjecture of Greene in [10] which states that there are only finitely many quasi-alternating links with a given determinant. However, Conjecture 2.10 has the advantage that it involves the breadth of the Jones polynomial which is, in general, easier to compute than the crossing number. Conjecture 2.10 is true for all quasi-alternating links that have been checked to satisfy the conjecture $c(L) \leq det(L)$, see [21]. In the appendix, we prove both conjectures for quasi-alternating closed 3-braids.

We now apply the obstruction criterion introduced by Theorem 1.2 to provide a table of knots of 12 crossings or less that are not quasi-alternating. A second table contains links of 9 crossings or less that are not quasi-alternating is also provided. The computations of the Q-polynomials and the determinants are done using knotinfo [7].

Finally, we close this section with the following two questions:

Question 2.11. *Can we determine all Kanenobu knots that are quasi-alternating?*

We conjecture that $K(0,0), K(1,0), K(1,-1)$ are the only Kanenobu knots that are quasi-alternating.

Question 2.12. *Can we characterize all quasi-alternating knots with crossing number less than or equal to 11?*

Our table combined with the table in [2] gives a partial solution for the above question.

Knot	Det.	Deg.	Knot	Det.	Deg.	Knot	Det.	Deg.
8_{19}	3	6	9_{42}	7	7	10_{124}	1	8
10_{132}	5	8	10_{139}	3	8	10_{145}	3	8
10_{153}	1	8	10_{161}	5	6	$11n9$	5	9
$11n19$	5	9	$11n31$	3	9	$11n34$	1	9
$11n38$	3	9	$11n42$	1	9	$11n49$	1	9
$11n57$	7	9	$11n67$	9	9	$11n96$	7	9
$11n102$	3	9	$11n104$	3	9	$11n111$	7	9
$11n116$	1	7	$11n135$	5	7	$11n139$	9	9
$12n0019$	1	10	$12n0023$	9	10	$12n0031$	9	10
$12n0051$	9	10	$12n0056$	9	9	$12n0057$	9	9
$12n0096$	7	10	$12n0118$	7	10	$12n0121$	1	10
$12n0124$	7	10	$12n0129$	7	10	$12n0149$	5	10
$12n0175$	3	10	$12n0200$	9	10	$12n0210$	1	10
$12n0214$	1	10	$12n0217$	5	10	$12n0221$	9	9
$12n0242$	1	10	$12n0243$	5	10	$12n0268$	9	10
$12n0273$	5	10	$12n0292$	1	10	$12n0293$	7	10
$12n0309$	1	10	$12n0313$	1	10	$12n0318$	1	8
$12n0332$	9	10	$12n0336$	5	10	$12n0352$	7	10
$12n0370$	5	10	$12n0386$	9	10	$12n0402$	9	10
$12n0403$	9	10	$12n0404$	3	10	$12n0419$	3	10
$12n0430$	1	9	$12n0439$	3	8	$12n0446$	7	10
$12n0473$	1	10	$12n0475$	7	10	$12n0488$	5	10
$12n0502$	9	10	$12n0519$	7	8	$12n0552$	9	9
$12n0574$	9	10	$12n0575$	3	10	$12n0579$	9	9
$12n0582$	9	10	$12n0591$	7	8	$12n0605$	9	10
$12n0617$	5	9	$12n0644$	7	8	$12n0655$	3	8
$12n0673$	5	10	$12n0676$	9	10	$12n0689$	7	10
$12n0725$	5	10	$12n0749$	7	8	$12n0812$	9	9
$12n0815$	7	9	$12n0851$	5	8			

TABLE 1. Knot table

Link	Det.	Deg.	Link	Det.	Deg.	Link	Det.	Deg.
$L8n3$	4	6	$L8n6$	0	6	$L8n8$	0	5
$L9n4$	4	7	$L9n9$	4	7	$L9n12$	6	7
$L9n15$	2	7	$L9n18$	2	7	$L9n19$	0	5
$L9n21$	4	6	$L9n27$	4	7			

TABLE 2. Link table

3. Appendix: Proof of Conjecture 2.10 for closed 3-braids

In this section we prove that Conjecture 2.10 holds for quasi-alternating closed 3-braids. Although a direct proof can be given by computing the breadth of the Jones polynomial of closed 3-braids. We prefer here to prove that for any quasi-alternating link L of braid index less than or equal to 3, we have $c(L) \leq det(L)$. This will imply that our conjecture is true for this class of links.

FIGURE 4. The generators σ_1 and σ_2 of B_3 respectively

For $n \geq 2$, let B_n be the braid group on n strings. It is well known that B_n is generated by the elementary braids $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ subject to the following relations:

$$\sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\sigma_i \text{ if } |i-j| \geq 2 \text{ and}$$
$$\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}, \ \forall\ 1 \leq i \leq n-2.$$

The importance of braid groups that Alexander's Theorem states that every link L in S^3 can be obtained as the closure of a certain braid b. We write $L = \hat{b}$. The two generators σ_1 and σ_2 of B_3 are pictured in figure 4. Closed 3-braids have been classified up to conjugation by Murasugi in [16].

Theorem 3.1. *Let b be a 3-braid and let $h = (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^3$ be a full positive twist. Then b is conjugate to exactly one of the following:*

1. $h^n\sigma_1^{p_1}\sigma_2^{-q_1}\ldots\sigma_1^{p_s}\sigma_2^{-q_s}$, *where s, p_i and q_i are positive integers.*
2. $h^n\sigma_2^m$ *where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.*
3. $h^n\sigma_1^m\sigma_2^{-1}$, *where $m \in \{-1,-2,-3\}$.*

Baldwin in [1] classified quasi-alternating closed 3-braids in the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2. *Let L be a closed 3-braid, then*

1. *If L is the closure of $h^n\sigma_1^{p_1}\sigma_2^{-q_1}\ldots\sigma_1^{p_s}\sigma_2^{-q_s}$, where s, p_i and q_i are positive integers, then L is quasi-alternating if and only if $n \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$.*
2. *If L is the closure of $h^n\sigma_2^m$, then L is quasi-alternating if and only if either $n = 1$ and $m \in \{-1,-2,-3\}$ or $n = -1$ and $m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.*
3. *If L is the closure of $h^n\sigma_1^m\sigma_2^{-1}$ where $m \in \{-1,-2,-3\}$. Then L is quasi-alternating if and only if $n \in \{0, 1\}$.*

The following proposition introduces explicit formulas for the determinant of any closed 3-braid.

Proposition 3.3. (1) *Suppose that $L = \widehat{h^n\sigma_1^{p_1}\sigma_2^{-q_1}\ldots\sigma_1^{p_s}\sigma_2^{-q_s}}$, where s, p_i and q_i are positive integers. Let $p = \sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i$ and $q = \sum_{i=1}^{s} q_i$.*

(a) *If n is odd, then*

$$det(L) = 4+pq+\sum_{k=2, i_1<\cdots<i_k}^{s} p_{i_1}\ldots p_{i_k}(q_{i_1}+\cdots+q_{i_2-1})\ldots(q_{i_{k-1}}+\cdots+q_{i_k-1})(q-(q_{i_1}+\cdots+q_{i_{k-1}})).$$

(b) *If n is even, then*

$$det(L) = pq+\sum_{k=2, i_1<\cdots<i_k}^{s} p_{i_1}\ldots p_{i_k}(q_{i_1}+\cdots+q_{i_2-1})\ldots(q_{i_{k-1}}+\cdots+q_{i_k-1})(q-(q_{i_1}+\cdots+q_{i_{k-1}}))$$

(2) *If $L = \widehat{h^n\sigma_2^m}$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $det(L) = 0$ if n is even and $det(L) = 4$ if n is odd.*

(3) *If $L = \widehat{h^n\sigma_1^m\sigma_2^{-1}}$ where $m \in \{-1,-2,-3\}$, then $det(L) = 2$ if $m = -2$ and $det(L) = 2 + (-1)^{3n+m}$ if $m = -1$ or -3.*



FIGURE 5. The Tutte graph associated with the diagram of $\widehat{\beta}$

Proof. Birman in [3] showed that the Jones polynomial of a closed 3-braid α is given by

$$V_{\widehat{\alpha}}(t) = (-\sqrt{t})^{e_\alpha}(t + t^{-1} + tr(\psi_t(\alpha)))$$

where e_α is the exponent sum of α as a word in the elementary braids σ_1 and σ_2. Also, $\psi_t : B_3 \longrightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$ is the Burau representation defined by $\psi_t(\sigma_1) = \begin{bmatrix} -t & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\psi_t(\sigma_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & -t \end{bmatrix}$, and tr denotes the usual matrix-trace function. Recall that for any link L, we have $det(L) = |V_L(-1)|$. The values of the determinants in cases 2 and 3 are obtained easily. Indeed, we have explicit formulas for the Jones polynomials in these cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\widehat{h^n\sigma_2^m}}(t) &= (-\sqrt{t})^{m+6n}\left(t + t^{-1} + t^{3n} + (-1)^m t^{3n+m}\right), \\
V_{\widehat{h^n\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}}}(t) &= (-\sqrt{t})^{6n-2}\left(t + t^{-1} + t^{3n}(-t)^{-1}\right), \\
V_{\widehat{h^n\sigma_1^{-2}\sigma_2^{-1}}}(t) &= (-\sqrt{t})^{6n-3}\left(t + t^{-1}\right), \\
V_{\widehat{h^n\sigma_1^{-3}\sigma_2^{-1}}}(t) &= (-\sqrt{t})^{6n-4}\left(t + t^{-1} + t^{3n}(-t)^{-2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\beta = \sigma_1^{p_1}\sigma_2^{-q_1}\widehat{\ldots}\sigma_1^{p_s}\sigma_2^{-q_s}$ and $\alpha = h^n\beta$. Since $\psi_t(\sigma_1\sigma_2)^3 = t^3.I_2$, then $V_{\widehat{\alpha}}(t) = (-\sqrt{t})^{e_\alpha}[t + t^{-1} + t^{3n}tr(\psi_t(\beta))]$. Consequently, $det(\widehat{\alpha}) = |V_{\widehat{\alpha}}(-1)| = |-1-1+(-1)^n tr(\psi_{-1}((\beta))|$. On the other hand, for any positive integers n and m, we have: $\psi_{-1}(\sigma_1^n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\psi_{-1}(\sigma_2^{-m}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ m & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Hence $\psi_{-1}(\sigma_1^n\sigma_2^{-m}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1+nm & n \\ m & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. A simple induction implies that $tr(\psi_{-1}((\beta))$ is always positive. In conclusion, $det(\widehat{\alpha}) = det(\widehat{\beta})$ if n is even and $det(\widehat{\alpha}) = det(\widehat{\beta}) + 4$, if n is odd.

To prove the formula in the case 1, we first compute the determinant of the alternating link $\widehat{\beta} = \sigma_1^{p_1}\sigma_2^{-q_1}\widehat{\ldots}\sigma_1^{p_s}\sigma_2^{-q_s}$ by counting the number of spanning trees of the Tutte graph associated with the diagram of $\widehat{\beta}$ given in figure 5. This graph is made up of a cycle $u_1u_2\ldots u_qu_1$ together with an extra vertex w of degree p which is connected to every vertex u_{q_i} by p_i parallel edges.

A spanning tree where w has degree 1, consists of an edge among the p edges incident to w and a spanning tree of the cycle. There are obviously pq such trees. For a spanning tree where w has degree $k \geq 2$, we first make a choice of k mutually non parallel edges incident to w, say $wu_{q_{i_1}}, \ldots, wu_{q_{i_k}}$. Then we break all the cycles of type $wu_{q_{i_r}} \ldots wu_{q_{i_{r+1}}} w$ and the cycle $wu_{q_{i_k}} \ldots u_{q_{i_q}} w$ by removing from each cycle an edge not incident to w. Note that the number of spanning trees will be then the product $p_{i_1} \ldots p_{i_k}(q_{i_1} + \cdots + q_{i_2-1}) \ldots (q_{i_{k-1}} + \cdots + q_{i_k-1})(q - (q_{i_1} \ldots q_{i_k-1}))$. The total number of spanning trees is obtained by taking the sum through all $k \leq s$ and $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$. If n is even, then $det(L) = det(\widehat{\beta})$. However, if n is odd, then $det(L) = |-1-1-tr(\psi_{-1}(\beta)| = tr(\psi_{-1}(\beta)) + 2 = det(\widehat{\beta}) + 4$. □

Now, we prove that for all quasi-alternating closed 3-braids, we have $c(L) \leq det(L)$. We start by considering the class of links in the first case of Baldwin's Theorem. If $n = 0$, then the link is alternating and the result holds. We will prove the result for $n = 1$, the case $n = -1$ is similar. Assume that $L = (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^3\sigma_1^{p_1}\widehat{\sigma_2^{-q_1}} \ldots \sigma_1^{p_s}\sigma_2^{-q_s}$, then: If $s > 1$, or ($s = 1, p_1 > 1$ and $q_1 > 1$), then by the proposition above $det(L) \geq 4 + pq \geq 4 + p + q$. On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma_1\sigma_2)^3\sigma_1^{p_1}\sigma_2^{-q_1} \ldots \sigma_1^{p_s}\sigma_2^{-q_s} &\equiv (\sigma_2\sigma_1)^3\sigma_1^{p_1}\sigma_2^{-q_1} \ldots \sigma_1^{p_s}\sigma_2^{-q_s} \\ &\equiv \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_1^{p_1}\sigma_2^{-q_1} \ldots \sigma_1^{p_s}\sigma_2^{-q_s} \\ &\equiv \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_1^{p_1}\sigma_2^{-q_1} \ldots \sigma_1^{p_s}\sigma_2^{-q_s+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $c(L) \leq 5 + p + q - 1 = 4 + p + q \leq det(L)$.
If $s = 1$, $p = 1$ and $q > 1$, then $det(L) = 4 + q$, and

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma_1\sigma_2)^3\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-q} &\equiv \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-q+1}\sigma_2^{-1} \\ &\equiv \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-q+1}\sigma_2^{-1} \\ &\equiv \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-q+1} \\ &\equiv \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-q+1} \\ &\equiv \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-q+2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $c(L) \leq 3 + q \leq det(L)$.
If $s = 1$, $p > 1$ and $q = 1$, then $det(L) = 4 + p$, and

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma_1\sigma_2)^3\sigma_1^p\sigma_2^{-1} &\equiv \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^p\sigma_2^{-1} \\ &\equiv \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_1^p\sigma_2^{-1} \\ &\equiv \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_1^p \\ &\equiv \sigma_1\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_1^{p+1} \\ &\equiv \sigma_1^{p+4}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $c(L) = p + 4 = det(L)$.
If $s = 1$, $p = 1$ and $q = 1$, then $det(L) = 5$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma_1\sigma_2)^3\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1} &\equiv \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1} \\ &\equiv \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1} \\ &\equiv \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^2 \\ &\equiv \sigma_1\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_2\sigma_1^2 \\ &\equiv \sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_1^3 \\ &\equiv \sigma_1^5.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $c(L) = 5 = det(L)$.

The two other cases in Baldwin's Theorem involve only a finite number of knots. A routine case by case check shows that the result holds for all these knots. This ends the proof of Conjecture 2.10 in the case of closed 3-braids.

References

[1] J. Baldwin, *Heegaard Fleor homology and genus one, one boundary component open books*, J. Topol. 1 (2008), no. 4, 963-992.

[2] S. Jablan, *Tables of quasi-alternating knots with at most 12 crossings*, preprint (2014), arXiv:1404.4965v2.

[3] J. Birman, *On the Jones polynomial of closed 3-braids, Inventiones Mathematicae* 81, 287-294 (1985)

[4] R.D. Brandt, W.B.R. Lickorish, and K.C. Millett: *A polynomial invariant for unoriented knots and links*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), 563–573.

[5] D. Bar-Natan and S. Morrison, *The Mathematica package KnotTheory. The Knot Atlas*, http://katlas.math.toronto.edu/wiki/.

[6] A. Champanerkar and Ilya Kofman, *Twisting Quasi-alternating Links*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 137(7) 2451–2458, 2009.

[7] J. C. Cha and C. Livingston, *KnotInfo: Table of Knot Invariants*, http://www.indiana.edu/∼knotinfo, September 16, 2010.

[8] A. Champanerkar and P. Ording, *A note on quasi-alternating Montesinos links*, preprint (2012), arXiv:1205.5261.

[9] J. Greene, *A spanning tree model for the Heegaard Floer homology of a branched double-cover*, J. Topol. 6 (2013), no. 2, 525567.

[10] J. Greene, *Homologically thin, non-quasi-alternating links*, Math. Res. Lett., 17(1):39-49, 2010.

[11] J. Greene and L. Watson, *Turaev Torsion, definite 4-manifolds, and quasi-alternating knots*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 45 (2013), no. 5, 962972.

[12] T. Kanenobu, *Examples on polynomial invariants of knots and links*, Math. Ann., 275(4): 555–572, 1986.

[13] W. Lickorish and M. Thistlethwaite, *Some Links with Non-trivial Polynomials and their Crossing Numbers*, Comment. Math. Helv. 63(4):527-539, 1988.

[14] C. Manolescu, *An unoriented skein exact triangle for knot Floer homology*, Math. Res. Lett. 14(5):839–852, 2007.

[15] C. Manolescu and P. Ozsváth, *On the Khovanov and knot Floer homologies of quasi-alternating links*. In Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference (2007), 60-81. Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2008.

[16] K. Murasugi, On closed 3-braids, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society 151 (AMS, Providence, RI 1974).

[17] P. Ozsváth, J. Rasmussen and Z. Szabó, *Odd Khovanov homology*. Algebr. Geom. Topol. 13 (2013), no. 3, 14651488.

[18] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *On the Heegaard Floer homology of branched double-covers*, Adv. Math., 194(1):1-33, 2005.

[19] K. Qazaqzeh, The Khovanov homology of a family of three-column pretzel links, Comm. Contemp. Math. 13 (5) (2011), 813-825.

[20] K. Qazaqzeh, N. Chbili, and B. Qublan, Characterization of quasi-alternating Montesinos links, preprint (2012), arXiv:1205.4650.

[21] K. Qazaqzeh, B. Qublan, and A. Jaradat, *A remark on the determinant of quasi-alternating links*, J. Knot Theory and its Ram. 22 (6) (2013), 1350031 (13 pages).

[22] A. Shumakovitch. KhoHo pari package. *www.geometric.ch/KhoHo/*, 2009.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kuwait University, P. O. Box 5969, Safat-13060, Kuwait, State of Kuwait

E-mail address: khaled@sci.kuniv.edu.kw

Department of Mathematical Sciences, College of Science UAE University, 17551 Al Ain, U.A.E.

E-mail address: nafaachbili@uaeu.ac.ae

URL: http://faculty.uaeu.ac.ae/nafaachbili